6

Peace and Conflict Resolution : The Indian Perspectives

Sitaram Kumbhar*

Indian ideas and approaches to peace and conflict resolution are widely recognized and respected worldwide. India has a long history of promoting peace and nonviolence, which can be seen in the teachings of Buddha and Mahatma Gandhi. Indian philosophy, such as Ahimsa (non-violence) and Satyagraha (the power of truth), Swaraj (self-rule), Shanti (peace), Sarvodaya (the welfare of all), Metta (loving-kindness), Anatta (non-self), and Karuna (compassion), has had a profound impact on global movements for peace and human rights. India has played a significant role in international peacekeeping missions and its diplomatic efforts have contributed to resolving conflicts in various regions of the world. The country's dedication to peaceful coexistence and resolving conflicts has made it a respected voice in the world.

[**Keywords :** Peace and conflict resolutions, Non-violence, Buddhism, Gandhi, Indian approach to peace and conflict resolution]

* Associate Professor, Shyam Lal College (University of Delhi), G. T. Road, Shahdara, Delhi (India) E-mail: <srkumbhar@shyamlal.du.ac.in>

1.   Introduction

India has a rich cultural heritage that promotes peace, harmony, and non-violence. India has played a big part in building peace and ending wars around the world over the years. Mahatma Gandhi and Buddha's ideas and teachings have shaped India's contributions to the idea of peace and ending conflicts. India has been a key player in many peace talks and efforts to end conflicts, and it is still working to build peace and stability around the world. This paper is an effort to analyze Indian ideas and perspectives on peace and conflict resolution.

2.   Peace and Conflict Theory

The genealogy of peace and conflict theory can be traced back to the ancient Greek philosopher Heraclitus, who posited that conflict is the essence of the universe. In his philosophy, he believed that everything is in a constant state of flux, and that conflict arises from the tension between opposing forces. In the modern era, the study of peace and conflict theory began to develop in the 20th century, with the emergence of various schools of thought. One of the earliest was the pacifist movement, which emerged in response to the devastation of World War I. The pacifist movement advocated for non-violent means of conflict resolution, and was deeply influenced by the teachings of Mahatma Gandhi.

Another school of thought that emerged in the mid-20th century was the realist school, which emphasized the role of power in international relations. According to realists, conflict arises from a struggle for power and resources, and the only way to achieve peace is to establish a balance of power between nations.

In the 1960s and 70s, a new school of thought emerged that focused on structural violence and social injustice. This approach argues that conflict arises from unequal power relations between social groups and that addressing these power imbalances is essential to achieving peace.

In the 1980s and 90s, the study of peace and conflict theory became more interdisciplinary, drawing on insights from sociology, psychology, anthropology, and other fields. This interdisciplinary approach emphasized the role of culture, identity, and communication in conflict resolution.

Today, the study of peace and conflict theory continues to evolve, with a growing focus on issues like climate change, terrorism, and cyber-warfare. New approaches, like the positive peace framework, emphasize the importance of building positive relationships and addressing the root causes of conflict, rather than simply managing its symptoms.

3. Peace in International Relations Theory

In international relations theory, there are several theories of peace and conflict that attempt to explain the causes and dynamics of war and peace. Here are some of the major theories: realism, constructivism, Marxism, and feminism.

Realism is a theory that emphasizes the role of power and self-interest in international relations. Realists believe that states are the main actors in the international system, and that their primary goal is to maximize their own security and survival. This often leads to conflict, as states compete for resources and influence. Liberalism is a theory that emphasizes the role of cooperation and institutions in international relations. Liberals believe that states can work together to promote mutual interests and that institutions such as the United Nations and World Trade Organization can help mitigate conflicts and promote peace. Constructivism is a theory that emphasizes the role of ideas and norms in international relations. Constructivists believe that international relations are shaped by the shared beliefs and values of states and that these beliefs can change over time. This can lead to shifts in the international system and the promotion of peace.

Marxism is a theory that emphasizes the role of economic and class factors in international relations. Marxists believe that conflict is driven by the exploitation of the working class by the ruling class, and that a socialist revolution is necessary to achieve true peace and justice. Feminism is a theory that emphasizes the role of gender and power relations in international relations. Feminists argue that traditional theories of international relations are biased towards male perspectives and that women's experiences of war and peace are often overlooked. Feminist theorists seek to integrate gender analysis into international relations theory in order to promote peace and gender equality.

These are just a few examples of the theories of peace and conflict in international relations. Each theory offers a different

perspective on the causes and dynamics of war and peace, and each has its own strengths and weaknesses (Waltz, 1979; Wittman, 1979; Stoessinger, 2001; Copeland, 2000).

4.   Buddhism, Peace and Non-violence

Buddha, also known as Siddhartha Gautama, was a spiritual teacher who founded Buddhism. He believed in non-violence and peace, and many of his teachings reflect these values. His contribution to the idea of peace and non-violence is very significant for peace building in the entire universe. It is clear from his words as to how serious his principles were about peace and non-violence (Galtung, 1993). He had said that "Better than a thousand hollow words, is one word that brings peace." This quote emphasizes the importance of peaceful action over empty rhetoric. Buddha believed that true peace can only be achieved through compassionate action and that individuals must work to alleviate the suffering of others in order to achieve true peace. This quote reminds us that words alone cannot bring about peace, but that actions rooted in compassion and non-violence can make a real difference in the world (Jayatilleke, 1969). The political role of integration of diversity (Suksamran 1976) and ideals of compassion (Chappell 1999; Kraft 1995) are two important aspects of Buddhism which can promote peace and end violence.

India has a long tradition of supporting a world order based on the principles of non-violence, peace and universal brotherhoods. In Indian perspective, peace is an essential aspect of life and society. India has a rich cultural heritage that promotes peace, harmony, and coexistence. The ancient Indian scriptures emphasize the importance of peace and non-violence. One of the most prominent symbols of peace in India is the Ashoka Chakra, which is a prominent feature of the Indian national flag. This symbol was inspired by Emperor Ashoka, who renounced violence and embraced Buddhism after witnessing the devastation of war.

India has also been a strong advocate of peace at the international level. India was one of the founding members of the Non-Aligned Movement, which aimed to promote peace, development, and cooperation among nations. India has also been actively involved in peacekeeping operations under the United Nations.

In recent times, India has faced several challenges to peace, including terrorism, communal violence, and conflicts with neigh-

boring countries. However, India has always responded to these challenges with a commitment to peace and non-violence.

Mahatma Gandhi, the father of the Indian nation, was a strong advocate of peace and non-violence. He led India's freedom struggle through peaceful means and inspired movements for peace and justice around the world. His teachings continue to inspire millions of people in India and around the world. Peace is an essential aspect of Indian society, culture, and tradition. India has always promoted peace and non-violence at the national and international level and the teachings of Mahatma Gandhi continue to inspire millions of people around the world.

5. Mahatma Gandhi and Idea of Peace & Non-violence

Mahatma Gandhi was a strong advocate of peace and non-violence, and his teachings continue to inspire people around the world. He has said that "An eye for an eye will only make the whole world blind." It suggests the futility of revenge and the importance of forgiveness as a means of resolving conflicts. In the Ukraine crisis, the present-day western countries believe that the violence can be defeated by using more violent means. The Indian perspective on peace believes that wars cannot end wars and it will lead to more destruction of lives and properties, besides irreparable damage to mother earth. He has strongly argued that "non-violence is the greatest force at the disposal of mankind. It is mightier than the mightiest weapon of destruction devised by the ingenuity of man." Gandhi strongly believed in the power of non-violence as a means of achieving peace. He suggested that other means are not compatible with human nature and the essence of human civilization. He believed that "peace is not the absence of conflict, but the ability to handle conflict by peaceful means." The importance of conflict resolution and the need to find peaceful solutions to disagreements and disputes through peaceful means is synonymous with the gift of the almighty. He says that the peace initiative should begin with the individual concerned, and the role of personal responsibility is highly needed in promoting peace and making a positive impact on the world. He has applied morality to the concept of peace and non-violence. He has said that the definition of weak and strong is wrongly construed in the world (Gandhi, 1997). He said "the weak can never forgive. Forgiveness is the attribute of the strong." The importance of forgiveness as a means of resolving conflicts and promoting peace, and

emphasizes the strength required to forgive others. He has rightly further asserted that "hate the sin, love the sinner." He places importance on separating the action from the individual, and promoting love and forgiveness even in the face of wrongdoing (Gandhi, 1977).

Mahatma Gandhi's teachings on peace and conflict emphasized the power of non-violence, forgiveness, personal responsibility, and service to others. His wisdom continues to inspire people around the world to work towards a more peaceful, non-violent and just society (Dalton, 1998).

Table-1 : Indian Perspectives and Approaches to Peace-building

Perspective/Approach	Explanation
Ahimsa (Non-Violence)	Ahimsa is a central principle of Indian philosophy and has been used as a tool for peacebuilding. This approach is based on the belief that non-violence is the most powerful way to achieve peace and that all life is sacred. Mahatma Gandhi used ahimsa as a means to achieve Indian independence and inspire other movements for social justice and peace.
Sarvodaya (Welfare of All)	Sarvodaya means "welfare of all" and is a concept that prioritizes the needs of the entire community over the individual. This approach promotes the idea that the well-being of individuals and society are interdependent and that peace and justice can only be achieved through the collective efforts of all members of society.
Swaraj (Self-Rule)	Swaraj means "self-rule" and is a concept that emphasizes the importance of individual empower-ment and autonomy. This approach recognizes that true peace and justice can only be achieved when individuals have the freedom to govern themselves and take responsibility for their own actions.
Shanti (Peace)	Shanti is the Hindi word for peace and is an essential part of Indian culture and philosophy. This approach emphasizes the importance of inner peace as a means of achieving outer peace, and recognizes that peace must be pursued on multiple levels, including personal, community, and global levels.
Satyagraha (Truth-Force)	Satyagraha is a method of non-violent resistance developed by Mahatma Gandhi. This approach empha-sizes the importance of standing up for truth and justice through peaceful means, and recognizes that peaceful resistance can be more powerful than violent force.

Metta (Loving-Kindness)	Metta is a Buddhist concept that emphasizes the importance of cultivating loving-kindness and compassion towards all living beings. This approach recognizes that peace can only be achieved through a fundamental shift in consciousness that prioritizes empathy and understanding.
Anatta (Non-Self)	Anatta is a Buddhist concept that emphasizes the impermanence and interdependence of all things. This approach recognizes that peace can only be achieved when individuals let go of their attachment to ego and recognize their interconnectedness with all living beings.
Karuna (Compassion)	Karuna is a Buddhist concept that emphasizes the importance of cultivating compassion towards all living beings. This approach recognizes that compassion is the foundation of peace and that individuals must work to alleviate the suffering of others in order to achieve true peace.

The above table shows a few examples of the significant Indian and Buddhist perspectives and approaches to peace building. Together, these approaches emphasize the importance of non-violence, empathy, compassion, and collective action in achieving peace and justice.

6. Indian Approaches to Peace Building

India's approach to building peace in conflict-ridden societies is rooted in the principles of non-violence, dialogue, and inclusive governance. Some of the key Indian views and approaches on how to build peace different societies are :

1. **Non-violence :** India's experience with non-violent resistance, as exemplified by Mahatma Gandhi's leadership during the Indian independence movement, has shaped its approach to peace-building. India advocates for the use of non-violent means to resolve conflicts, such as dialogue, negotiation, and mediation.

2. **Inclusive governance :** India believes that inclusive governance is key to building peace in conflict-ridden societies. This includes ensuring the participation of all stakeholders, including marginalized groups, in decision-making processes and promoting social justice and equality.

3. **Economic development :** India views economic development as an important factor in building peace. By promoting

economic growth and reducing poverty, countries can create conditions that are conducive to peace and stability.

4. **Conflict prevention :** India stresses the importance of preventing conflicts from escalating in the first place. This involves early warning and early response mechanisms, as well as addressing the root causes of conflicts, such as political, economic, and social grievances.

5. **International cooperation :** India recognizes the importance of international cooperation in building peace in conflict-ridden societies. This includes working with regional and international organizations, such as the United Nations and the African Union, to support peace-building efforts and provide humanitarian assistance.

6. **Democracy, federalism and participation :** It is one of the major current means which India has been encouraging to end various kinds of violence in many countries. In fact the democracy, federalism and participation of the deprived and aggrieved parties can end violence and encourage peace without hurting the opposite parties.

India's approach to building peace in conflict-ridden societies involves non-violence, inclusive governance, economic development, conflict prevention, democracy, federalism and international cooperation. By promoting these principles and working collaboratively with other countries and organizations, India believes that sustainable peace can be achieved in even the most challenging circumstances.

7.   Ukraine War and International Peace

Because of the ongoing Russia-Ukraine war, ideas about the concept of peace, conflicts, and peace building have resurfaced. India has maintained a position of neutrality in the Ukraine conflict, calling for a peaceful resolution through dialogue and diplomacy. India has expressed its concern over the deteriorating security situation in Ukraine and called for all parties to exercise restraint and engage in meaningful dialogue to find a peaceful solution.

India's Minister of External Affairs, S. Jaishankar, has stated that "India is supportive of a peaceful resolution of the situation in Ukraine through dialogue and diplomatic means, in accordance with international law, particularly the UN Charter, and the principles of territorial integrity and sovereignty of states."

India has also emphasized the importance of respecting the Minsk agreements, which were signed in 2015 and aimed to bring about a peaceful resolution to the conflict in Ukraine. India has called on all parties to fully implement the agreements and work towards a lasting cease-fire and a political solution.

India's position on the Ukraine conflict emphasizes the importance of peaceful resolution through dialogue and diplomacy, and the need to respect international law and the principles of territorial integrity and sovereignty of states. India supports the implementation of the Minsk agreements and the pursuit of a lasting cease-fire and political solution to the conflict.

The Minsk agreements are a set of cease-fire and peace agreements signed in 2015 between Ukraine and pro-Russian separatists in the eastern regions of Donetsk and Luhansk. The agreements were negotiated in the Belarusian capital of Minsk by the leaders of Ukraine, Russia, France, and Germany.

The Minsk agreements consist of two separate documents: the Minsk Protocol, signed on September 5, 2014, and the Minsk II agreement, signed on February 12, 2015. The agreements called for an immediate cease-fire, the withdrawal of heavy weapons, the exchange of prisoners, and the establishment of a demilitarized zone in eastern Ukraine.

The Minsk agreements also outlined a political solution to the conflict, which included decentralization of power, constitutional reform, and local elections in the eastern regions of Donetsk and Luhansk. The agreements were intended to provide a framework for resolving the conflict and restoring peace to eastern Ukraine. However, implementation of the Minsk agreements has been slow and incomplete, with continued fighting and violations of the cease-fire and subsequent full scale war.

Table-2 : Peace-building Means, Efforts, Methods in International Conflict Resolution

Conflict	Method	Year	Countries Involved	Institution/ Country Mediated in Peace Building
Paris Peace Conference	Diplomacy	1919	Various countries after World War I	League of Nations
Oslo Accords	Negotiation	1993	Israel, Palestine	United States

Dayton Accords	Negotiation	1995	Bosnia and Herzegovina	United States
Good Friday Agreement	Negotiation	1998	Ireland, Northern Ireland, United Kingdom	United Kingdom
Taif Agreement	Negotiation	1989	Lebanon	Arab League
Comprehensive Peace Agreement (Sudan)	Negotiation	2005	Sudan, South Sudan	African Union
Darfur Peace Agreement	Negotiation	2006	Sudan, Darfur rebel groups	African Union
Oslo II Accord	Negotiation	1995	Israel, Palestine	United States
Camp David Accords	Negotiation	1978	Israel, Egypt	United States
Sudanese Peace Process	Negotiation	2002	Sudan, rebel groups in southern Sudan	Inter-governmental Authority on Development
Aceh Peace Process	Negotiation	2005	Indonesia, Free Aceh Movement	European Union
Colombia Peace Agreement	Negotiation	2016	Colombia, Revolutionary Armed Forces of Colombia (FARC)	United Nations
Syria Peace Talks	Negotiation	2012-2017	Syria, opposition groups, international community	United Nations
Singapore Summit (North Korea)	Diplomacy	2018	United States, North Korea	United States
Abraham Accords	Diplomacy	2020	Israel, United Arab Emirates, Bahrain, Sudan	United States
Afghan Peace Talks	Negotiation	2020	Afghanistan, Taliban, United States	Qatar
Minsk Agreements	Negotiation	2014	Ukraine, Russia, separatist groups in eastern Ukraine	Organization for Security & Cooperation in Europe (OSCE)

Table-2 includes important peace-building efforts, their methods, the countries involved, and the institutions that brokered the peace efforts. It covers conflicts from around the world and represents a range of methods used to build peace, including diplomatic negotiations and mediated negotiations facilitated by international organizations. The third part mediation (Walter, 1997), the agreement between the parties in conflict (Wagner, 2000), role of good leadership (Stoessinger, 2001), complete information about the consequences of conflict (Slantchev, 2003) and institutionalized links between the peace-researchers and decision makers (Schmid, 1968) can stop conflicts and encourage conflict resolution in various context.

8. Big Powers, Conflicts, Peace

It is true that the actions of big and powerful countries can contribute significantly to instability and violence in different parts of the world (Keal, 1983 and Miller & Kagan, 1997). The main causes of international instability and violence are military interventions, arming of local groups, economic interests, and support for authoritarian regimes by the major powers.

The actions of big and powerful countries can contribute significantly to violence and instability in different parts of the world. It is important for these countries to recognize their role in such conflicts and to take steps to promote peace and stability rather than exacerbating the situation. This includes supporting democratic processes, promoting economic development, and respecting the sovereignty of other nations.

9. Role of UN in International Peace Building

The United Nations (UN) is undoubtedly one of the most important global institutions when it comes to promoting peace and security around the world. Established in the aftermath of World War II, the UN was created with the goal of preventing such devastating conflicts from occurring again. However, despite its many successes, the UN has often been criticized for its failure to effectively promote peace building efforts internationally. In this essay, I will explore some of the reasons for this and suggest ways in which the UN can become more effective in its peace building efforts.

One of the main reasons for the UN's ineffectiveness in peace building is the lack of political will on the part of member states. The

UN is a forum for diplomacy and decision-making, but ultimately it is the individual states that have the power to make things happen. In many cases, member states are unwilling to take the necessary steps to promote peace, either because of competing interests or domestic political concerns. For example, the UN has been unable to effectively address the conflict in Syria because of the competing interests of Russia, Iran, and the United States, among others. Another reason for the UN's lack of effectiveness in peace building is the limitations of its own structures and processes. Additionally, the UN's peacekeeping operations are often underfunded and understaffed, making it difficult for them to effectively carry out their mandates.

Finally, the UN's approach to peace building has been criticized for being too focused on traditional security concerns, such as disarmament and military intervention, and not enough on addressing the root causes of conflict, such as poverty, inequality, and human rights abuses. This has led to situations where UN peacekeeping operations are seen as part of the problem rather than the solution. For example, the UN's peacekeeping mission in the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC) has been criticized for failing to effectively address the underlying political and economic issues that are fueling the conflict in the region.

Despite these challenges, there are ways in which the UN can become more effective in promoting peace building efforts internationally. One approach is to focus more on prevention, rather than simply reacting to crises after they have erupted. This means investing in conflict prevention efforts, such as mediation, dialogue, and early warning systems, and working with local actors to address the root causes of conflict. The UN can also work to strengthen its own structures and processes, such as by reforming the Security Council to make it more representative and responsive to global concerns.

Another approach is to focus more on human security, rather than simply traditional security concerns. This means addressing issues such as poverty, inequality, and human rights abuses, which are often at the root of conflicts. The UN can work with local actors to promote economic development, human rights, and the rule of law, and ensure that peacekeeping operations are designed to protect civilians and promote human security.

The UN has faced significant challenges in its efforts to promote peace building internationally. These challenges include the

lack of political will among member states, the limitations of its own structures and processes, and its focus on traditional security concerns rather than addressing the root causes of conflict. However, there are ways in which the UN can become more effective in promoting peace building efforts, such as by focusing more on prevention, human security, and strengthening its own structures and processes. Only by doing so can the UN fulfills its mandate of promoting peace and security around the world (Bertram, 1995 and The Stimson Center, 2017).

10. India's Role in UN Peacekeeping Missions

India has been actively involved in UN peacekeeping missions since 1950, with over 200,000 Indian peacekeepers having served in various missions over the years (Choedon, 2007). India is one of the largest contributors of troops to UN peacekeeping missions, with the Indian military having played a key role in peacekeeping efforts in countries such as Congo, Cambodia, Somalia, and Sierra Leone.

India's involvement in peacekeeping missions has been guided by its longstanding commitment to the principles of peace, non-violence, and cooperation. India's approach to peacekeeping is based on the belief that peacekeeping should be carried out with the consent of the parties involved, and that peacekeeping operations should focus on the underlying causes of conflict, including poverty, inequality, and marginalization.

India's role in UN peacekeeping missions has been recognized by the international community, with Indian peacekeepers having received numerous awards and accolades for their contributions to peacekeeping efforts. In addition, the Indian government has been actively involved in efforts to strengthen the UN's capacity to carry out peacekeeping missions, including through the provision of training and support to UN peacekeeping forces.

In recognition of India's commitment to peace and non-violence, the United Nations has designated October 2nd, the birthday of Mahatma Gandhi, as the International Day of Non-Violence. The International Day of Non-Violence was established by the UN General Assembly in 2007, and is observed annually to commemorate the life and legacy of Mahatma Gandhi, who was a leading figure in India's struggle for independence and a staunch advocate of non-violence.

The designation of the International Day of Non-Violence is a testament to India's longstanding commitment to the principles of peace and non-violence, and to the ongoing efforts of the Indian government and people to promote peace and stability both domestically and internationally (Bissio, 2021). India's participation in UN peacekeeping missions is just one example of the country's ongoing efforts to contribute to global peace and security, and to uphold the values of cooperation, mutual respect, and peaceful coexistence that are at the heart of the UN's mission.

11. Conclusion

The peace and conflict in today's world is dependent upon a variety of factors, agencies and institutions. The existing international organization can immensely contribute to international peace but the interference of the big powers is one of the biggest challenges. These institutions have been the victim of the big power politics in the international arena. In most of the cases conflicts and instabilities were created by the world's dominant powers. It is evident that peace-building is a complex and multifaceted process, requiring the cooperation and collaboration of various actors, including governments, international organizations, and civil society.

India's approach to building peace is based on the ideas of nonviolence, cooperation, and mutual respect, and it has made a big difference in peace efforts all over the world. The Indian approach to peace-building is based on universally acceptable principles. It should also take an active role in peacekeeping, leading by example by first addressing domestic issues in India. India's active participation in UN peacekeeping missions and dedication to addressing the root causes of conflict, such as poverty and inequality, are examples of its efforts to promote peace and stability in the world.

But international organizations like the United Nations have a lot of problems when it comes to making peace and solving problems. Even though the UN has been an important part of keeping the peace, it hasn't been able to do its job as well as it could because of things like a lack of resources, different interests among member states, and a limited mandate. It shows how important it is to take a comprehensive, coordinated, and all-inclusive approach to addressing the root causes of conflict and promoting peace and stability that will last.

References

Bertram, E., "Reinventing Governments : The Promise and Perils of United Nations Peace Building", *The Journal of Conflict Resolution*, 39(3), 1995, 387-418.

Bissio, B., "Gandhi's Satyagraha and its Legacy in the Americas and Africa", *Social Change*, 51(1), 2021, 23-37.

Chappell, David W. (ed.), *Buddhist Peacework : Creating Cultures of Peace*, Boston, MA : Wisdom Publications, 1999.

Choedon, Y., "India and the Current Concerns of UN Peacekeeping : Issues and Prospects", *India Quarterly*, 63(2), 2007, 150-184.

Copeland, Dale, *The Origins of Major War*, Ithaca : Cornell University, 2000.

Dalton, Dennis, *Gandhi's Power : Non-violence in Action*, Delhi : Oxford University Press, 1998.

Galtung, Johan, *Buddhism : A Quest for Unity and Peace*, Honolulu: Dae Won Sa Buddhist Temple, 1993.

Gandhi, M. K., *The Collected Works of Mahatma Gandhi* (CW), Volume 70, New Delhi : The Publications Division, 1977.

Jayatilleke, Kulatissa Nanda, *Buddhism and Peace*, Kandy, Ceylon : Buddhist Publication Society, 1969.

Keal, P., *Unspoken Rules and Superpower Dominance*, London : Macmillan Press, 1983.

Kraft, Kenneth, "Practicing Peace: Social Engagement in Western Buddhism", *Journal of Buddhist Ethics*, 2, 1995, 152-177.

Martín, F. E., "Critical Analysis of the Concept of Peace in International Relations", *Peace Research*, 37(2), 2005, 45-59.

Miller, B. & Kagan, K., "The Great Powers and Regional Conflicts: Eastern Europe and the Balkans from the Post-Napoleonic Era to the Post-Cold War Era", *International Studies Quarterly*, 41(1), 1997, 51-85.

Parel, A. J. (ed.), *'Hind Swaraj' and Other Writings*, Cambridge, England : Cambridge University Press, 1997.

Park, J., "Economic Interdependence, Polity Type, Conflict and Peace: When Does Interdependence Cause Peace and Cause War?", *Journal of International and Area Studies*, 25(1), 2018, 21-36.

Schmid, H., "Peace Research and Politics", *Journal of Peace Research*, 5(3), 1968, 217-232.

Slantchev, Branislav, "The Power to Hurt: Costly Conflict with Completely Informed States", *American Political Science Review*, 97, 2003, 123-133.

Stoessinger, John G., *Why Nations Go To War*, 8th ed., Boston : Bedford/St. Martins, 2001.

Suksamran, S., ***Political Buddhism in Southeast Asia : The Role of the Sangha in the Modernization of Thailand***, New York : St. Martin's Press, 1976.

The Stimson Center, ***Emerging UN Sustaining Peace Effort - Experts Dialogue***, Washington, D.C. : Stimson Center, 2017.

Wagner, R. Harrison, "Bargaining and War", ***American Journal of Political Science***, 44, 2000, 469-484.

Walter, Barbara F., "The Critical Barrier to Civil War Settlement", ***International Organization***, 51, 1997, 335-64.

Waltz, Kenneth, ***Theory of International Politics***, Reading, MA : Addison-Wesley, 1979.

Wittman, Donald, "How a War Ends", ***Journal of Conflict Resolution***, 23, 1979, 743-763.   ★