

JOURNAL OF NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT

PEER REVIEWED, INDEXED & REFEREED BI-ANNUAL INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL

ISSN 0972-8309

<https://www.jndmeerut.org>

[Vol. 39, Special Monsoon Issue, 2026]

<https://doi.org/10.62047/JND.2026.09.15.99>

Changing Family Structures in Urban India: A Sociological Study of Marriage, Kinship, and Individual Choice

Anuradha Singh

Assistant Professor, Department of Sociology, Dayanand Arya Kanya Degree College, Moradabad, Uttar Pradesh (India)
E-mail:<anurasingh99@gmail.com>

Abstract

Rapid urbanization, economic reorganization, increasing levels of education, and shifting cultural norms have all contributed to dramatic shifts in family arrangements in India's metropolitan centers throughout the last several decades. how these changes have affected family dynamics in metropolitan areas, namely marriage rates, familial ties, and personal decisions. examining the changes in family structures, such as the rise of nuclear, single-parent, and alternative families, and how kinship responsibilities and familial standards continue to shape daily interactions. The ways in which gender, religion, class, and caste affect individual agency through a sociological lens. Delayed marriages, love marriages, inter-caste partnerships, and the balancing act between parental authority and individual preference are some of the evolving marital customs that receive special attention. In metropolitan settings, the traditional roles of family, emotional attachments, and caregiving are being rethought. Selected empirical insights from urban contexts supplement the study's foundation in secondary data analysis. Urban families, it says, are still very much rooted in social customs and societal expectations, even as they are becoming more and more defined by individual agency and adaptability. Modern individualism and lasting familial values coexist, the study finds, in the dynamic interplay between shifting family arrangements in urban India.

Keywords

Urban India, Family structure, Marriage practices, Kinship systems, Individual choice, Social change.

Centre For Studies of National Development, Meerut

Editorial Office : D-59, Shastri Nagar, Meerut - 250 004 (INDIA)
Ph. : 0121-2763765, +91-9997771669, +91-9412200765

Changing Family Structures in Urban India: A Sociological Study of Marriage, Kinship, and Individual Choice

1. Introduction

Traditional Indian wisdom holds that the family is the unit most responsible for passing on values, customs, and ways of life from one generation to the next. Social order and communal accountability were traditionally upheld by the joint family structure, which is defined by co-residence, shared economic resources, and close familial relationships. But, family dynamics and economic norms have changed drastically in modern urban India as a result of major social and economic shifts. Traditional ideas about marriage, family structure, and personal freedom have evolved in response to modern trends in urbanization, industrialization, educational attainment, and women's economic empowerment. Nuclear families, two-income homes, single-parent households, and live-in relationships are some of the novel family arrangements that have emerged as a result of the intersection of traditional values and contemporary lifestyles in urban areas. In addition to demonstrating the adaptability of Indian social institutions, these changes mirror larger modernization and globalization processes. One aspect of family life that has changed significantly in metropolitan areas is marriage. Love weddings, delayed marriages, and couplings between different castes or religions are becoming more acceptable, even though planned marriages are still common. However, familial networks still have an impact due to the social, economic, and emotional expectations they instill. The tension between agency and structure is emphasized in urban family life by the juxtaposition of individual choice and familial control. Urban India is also witnessing a redefinition of kinship bonds. Inter-generational support has changed due to factors such as growing caregiving responsibilities, shifting gender roles, and physical distance from extended family members. Despite this, kinship is still a powerful social capital, identity, and security mechanism. Ritual practices, caregiving responsibilities, and obligations to parents are still very much a part of daily life. This study aims to examine how urban India is experiencing a shift in family systems, specifically looking at marriage, kinship, and personal choice. The study's overarching goal is to add to our sociological knowledge of family transformation in modern Indian culture by investigating how people manage their own ambitions within preexisting societal structures.

2. Review of Literature

Though the family, Marriage and Kinship are among the oldest and most debated topics of research in sociology and social anthropology, still the relevance of these social institutions is still experienced in spite of the fact that they have changed their structure and function with change in social structure. Pioneer works

on family structures and their transformation in context to processes of social change; changing patterns of marriage in urban society; kinship networks in urban contexts; marriage, kinship, and individual choice form part and parcel of such studies. M. N. Srinivas (1942), G. S. Ghurye (1955), S. C. Dube (1955, 1998, 1990), I. P. Desai (1964), T. N. Madan (1965, 1989), K. M. Kapadia (1966), N. K. Bose (1967, 1997), Iravati Karve (1968), Leela Dube (1974, 1996, 1997), Sylvia Vatuk (1983), A. M. Shah (1998), M. S. Gore (2000), Patricia Uberoi (2003), Tulsi Patel (2005) among many others are sociologists who have done pioneer work in this field. A number of empirical studies can be seen on family structures, marriage and kinship networks and the transformation of these institutions in context to processes of social change

Study conducted by Fuller and Narasimhan (2008) demonstrates changes in marriage criteria and practices. Education, employment, personal compatibility, and greater participation of young men and women in arranging their own marriages have become increasingly important, while child marriage and close-kin marriage have declined. It is particularly useful for your subsection on changing criteria for mate selection.

Based on an original survey of 6,030 parents and adult children in Mumbai, Mathur's (2007) study has examined the interaction between parental involvement and individual spouse choice. It conceptualizes the choice between arranged and love marriage as a process of bargaining between parents and children. The study found that stronger financial and kinship ties between parents and sons were associated with a greater likelihood of arranged marriage.

Using data covering 1970-2012, Allendorf and Pandian (2016) examined spouse choice, premarital acquaintance, inter-caste marriage, and consanguineous marriage, including differences by urban residence. They found increasing involvement of women in spouse selection and a rise in inter-caste marriage, but also show that arranged marriage remains dominant. The study therefore supports the argument that Indian marriage is undergoing hybridization rather than a simple transition from arranged to love marriage.

Using India Human Development Survey (IHDS) 2011-12 data, Narzary and Ladusingh (2019) empirically examined inter-caste marriage in India. It reported that inter-caste marriage remained relatively low but was more common among women who selected their husbands themselves and among those who knew their husbands for a longer period before marriage. It provides useful quantitative evidence for your discussion of inter-caste marriage and increasing individual agency.

Using the nationally representative IHDS-II, covering 384 districts and more than 1,000 urban neighbourhoods, Ray, Chaudhuri and Sahai (2020) investigated the relationship between education and inter-caste marriage. Interestingly, it finds that the education of the spouses themselves was not significantly associated with their own inter-caste marriage, while higher education of the husband's mother was associated with a greater probability of inter-caste marriage. This provides a useful

corrective to the assumption that modernization and individual education automatically lead to weakening of caste endogamy.

Based on 48 interviews conducted in the New Delhi metropolitan region during 2018-2019 with recently married/engaged individuals, unmarried young people, and parents, Reed (2025) has shown how roka engagements create space for courtship, compatibility, romantic choice, and parental approval. It demonstrates how contemporary urban arranged marriages increasingly incorporate elements of individual choice.

Mondal's (2024) study used data from all five rounds of the National Family Health Survey (1992-93 to 2019-21) to examine long-term changes in family structure. It identifies substantial changes in the prevalence of different family forms, including increases in single-person and some forms of extended families. Although it is not exclusively urban, it provides valuable national empirical evidence for situating urban family transformation within the broader Indian context.

Based on 40 interviews with middle-class young Indians, Reed (2025) has explored how young people understand arranged and self-choice marriage. It finds that young adults often view arranged marriage pragmatically because parental involvement provides social and familial support, while some prefer self-choice marriage because of concerns about compatibility and autonomy. It is useful for analysing individualization, risk, autonomy, and changing attitudes towards marriage in urban middle-class India.

Using macro-level panel data for 1991-2021, Dey Goli (2026) examined the relationship between urbanization, modernization, and family structure. Its findings indicate a significant positive relationship between urbanization/modernization and the growth of nuclear families, providing empirical support for the transformation of traditional family structures.

The above brief review of literature indicates that family, marriage and kinship in India are undergoing significant transformation under the influence of urbanization, education, modernization, westernization, globalization, economic change and increasing individualism. These studies collectively reveal a gradual shift from purely family-centred decision-making towards greater participation of young men and women in mate selection, while arranged marriage, caste endogamy, kinship obligations and parental involvement continue to retain considerable significance. Rather than representing a complete transition from traditional to modern forms, contemporary Indian families and marriages appear to reflect a process of negotiation, adaptation and hybridization, in which individual choice coexists with familial expectations and social norms. However, much of the existing literature examines particular dimensions of family or marriage separately, and there remains scope for a more integrated sociological understanding of how changing family structures, marriage practices, kinship networks and individual choices intersect within contemporary urban Indian society. The present study seeks to

address this gap by examining these interconnected transformations and their implications for changing patterns of family and marriage in urban India.

3. Objectives of the Study

The main objectives of this study are:

1. To examine the impact of urbanization on the structure and functioning of family in India.
2. To analyze changing patterns of marriage in urban society, including changes in partner selection, age at marriage, and marital preferences.
3. To examine the changing nature of kinship networks and their continuity and adaptation in urban contexts.
4. To understand the growing role of individual choice, education, occupation, and economic independence in family and marriage decisions.
5. To analyze the broader sociological implications of changing family and marriage patterns for contemporary urban Indian society.

4. Methodology

The study is descriptive and analytical in nature and is based primarily on secondary sources. Relevant books, research articles, government reports, census publications, and other scholarly literature on urbanization, family, marriage, and kinship in India have been reviewed. A sociological approach is used to analyze changes in family structures, marital practices, and kinship relations in the context of urbanization, modernization, and increasing individual choice. The study is interpretive rather than based on primary field data.

5. Urbanization and Transformation of Family Structures in India

One of the most powerful factors changing family arrangements in India is urbanization. Norms of residency, power, and social interactions have changed as a result of people fleeing rural areas for urban centers in search of better work, educational opportunities, and living standards. Norms of family structure are challenged by the combination of economic mobility and exposure to varied lifestyles in cities. The conventional joint family structure is slowly dying out as a result of urbanization. The establishment of nuclear homes is encouraged in metropolitan areas due to constraints such as limited dwelling space, high living costs, and busy work schedules. More and more, young couples are opting for self-sufficient housing options that provide them more space and freedom. Therefore, families are getting smaller, and people spend less time physically living together and more time communicating digitally with their extended family. The economic foundation of the family has also been altered by urbanization. In agricultural and other family-based occupations, families frequently served as units of production in rural and semi-rural contexts. Contrarily, most urban families rely on money from salaried or professional jobs to fund their purchasing habits. More

egalitarian decision-making within homes has resulted from this transformation, which has reduced conventional power structures, particularly those based on gender and age. However, disparities still exist. A further significant aspect of this change is the shifting of gender roles. Women in urban areas have more opportunities to further their education and find gainful jobs, which boosts their economic independence and gives them a voice in public affairs. More and more families are living in two-income households, which changes the dynamics of household chores, child care, and power dynamics within the family. New difficulties, such as work-family balance and the rethinking of conventional expectations, have emerged alongside women's growing workforce participation, which has broadened individual choice. Family relationships have not entirely disintegrated as a result of urbanization, despite these changes. As always, but especially in times of crisis, kinship networks are vital in providing emotional support, childcare, financial aid, and social security. Even when families are spread out over different locations, the importance of festivals, rituals, and family obligations does not diminish. Traditional values and modern practices coexist in urban family life in India, indicating a tendency of adaptation rather than replacement. Nuclear families, reimagined economic and gender roles, and more personal freedom are all effects of urbanization in India. But these shifts take place within a larger cultural context that still places a premium on kinship and familial bonds. A dynamic process characterized by both change and continuity best describes the evolution of family arrangements in urban India.

6. Changing Patterns of Marriage in Urban Society

Education, city living, economic freedom, and exposure to different cultural norms have all contributed to a dramatic shift in marriage in modern Indian society. Marriage is still very important to society, but in modern cities, people have greater leeway in how they get married, when they get married, and how they decide. Tradition still has a lot of sway, but it is living in harmony with modern values of free will and preference.

6.1 Arranged and Love Marriages

Traditional Indian marriage has been arranged to ensure social position, caste endogamy, and familial compatibility. On the other hand, planned marriages in cities have changed over the years. More and more, in modern arranged marriages, the prospective spouses have the power to accept or decline the match. This procedure has been further modernized by the rise of online marriage sites and professional networks, which combine traditional values with individual permission.

At the same time, love marriages have become more common in city dwellers' social circles. Young adults are able to develop emotional connections outside of family mediation as a result of their exposure to co-educational institutions, workplaces, and social spaces. More and more people are choosing to tie the knot out of a sense of camaraderie, emotional compatibility, and autonomy. However,

getting everyone's OK is still crucial, and a lot of love marriages include compromising to meet everyone's expectations.

6.2 Delayed Marriage and Changing Age Norms

The average age of bridesmaids has risen noticeably, especially among females, as a result of urbanization. Many people are delaying marriage because they want to further their education, advance in their careers, become financially independent, or simply feel uncomfortable with traditional gender norms. More and more, city dwellers see marriage not as a necessary social requirement but as a personal decision among many.

Reduced family sizes, altered fertility patterns, and new expectations for parenthood are some of the larger societal effects of this postponement. Simultaneously, social pressures associated with age standards and marital status persist, which can exacerbate existing tensions, particularly for women. Accordingly, although there is some wiggle room in age norms, they are nonetheless heavily influenced by conventional wisdom.

6.3 Inter-caste and Inter-religious Marriages

Marriages between people of different religions or castes are among the most radical changes to Indian marriage customs. There is more room for such unions in urban areas, because to the anonymity and social variety that characterize urban life. Marriages between people of different religious or caste backgrounds are becoming more common as a result of factors such as more access to education and employment opportunities, as well as liberal ideals.

The fact that many communities and families still oppose these unions shows how strong caste and religious identities are. Social stigma, family strife, or outright exclusion are all possible outcomes for couples. The increasing number of weddings between people of different castes and religions in India's cities is a sign of a modest but significant trend toward greater social integration and personal freedom, notwithstanding these obstacles.

6.4 Changing Criteria for Mate Selection

In urban Indian society, the criteria for mate selection have undergone significant changes due to education, occupational mobility, economic transformation and greater individual autonomy. While caste, religion, family status and social background continue to influence partner selection, increasing importance is being attached to education, occupation, income, personality, communication skills, compatibility and shared interests. Urban youth, particularly those with higher education and professional exposure, increasingly seek partners who can provide emotional companionship and mutual understanding rather than merely conforming to traditional family expectations. Thus, mate selection is gradually shifting from a predominantly family-centred process towards a combination of family considerations and individual preferences.

The changing criteria also reflect the growing importance of gender equality and personal aspirations in marital decisions. Women's education, employment, and economic independence have expanded their ability to participate actively in selecting a spouse and negotiating marital expectations. Similarly, men are increasingly expected to value their partner's education, career, and personal ambitions. Consequently, compatibility, mutual respect, emotional security, and the ability to balance professional and family responsibilities have become important considerations in urban marriages. However, these changes are uneven, and traditional criteria such as caste, religion, class, and family reputation continue to coexist with modern considerations.

6.5 Digital and Online Marriage-making

The expansion of the internet, smart phones, social media and matrimonial websites has significantly transformed the process of meeting potential marriage partners in urban India. Digital platforms have widened the social and geographical boundaries within which individuals search for partners. Unlike traditional arrangements in which relatives and community networks played the dominant role, individuals can now create profiles, specify preferences, communicate directly with prospective partners and make preliminary assessments before involving their families. This has increased the visibility of individual choice in the process of mate selection and has contributed to the emergence of new forms of digitally mediated courtship.

At the same time, digital marriage-making does not necessarily represent a complete departure from traditional practices. Many matrimonial platforms, especially caste-based platforms, allow users to specify caste, religion, education, occupation, income, and other conventional criteria. This, by implication, reproduces existing social preferences in a new technological environment. Families may also participate in online searches and negotiations, particularly in arranged marriages. Therefore, the digital platforms represent a process of continuity as well as change—they expand opportunities for individual interaction while simultaneously allowing traditional social norms to operate through new technological channels. Issues of privacy, authenticity, deception and the commercialization of partner selection also constitute emerging concerns.

6.6 Changing Gender Roles in Marriage

Urbanization, women's education and increasing female participation in paid employment have contributed to substantial changes in gender roles within marriage. The traditional model of the husband as primary breadwinner and the wife as homemaker is increasingly being replaced by dual-earner and more negotiated forms of family life. In many urban households, both partners contribute to household income, participate in financial decisions and also share responsibilities relating to children and domestic management. Therefore, marriage is increasingly understood as a partnership based on cooperation,

companionship and mutual decision-making rather than strictly differentiated gender roles.

Despite these changes, the transformation of gender roles remains incomplete. Women in dual-earner households often continue to carry a disproportionate share of domestic work, childcare and responsibilities towards elderly family members. This creates tensions between professional aspirations and traditional expectations of femininity and family responsibility. At the same time, changing expectations of masculinity are encouraging greater male participation in household and care-giving activities. Thus, urban marriage reflects a complex coexistence of traditional gender norms and emerging egalitarian ideals, with the extent of change varying according to class, education, occupation, and family background.

6.7 Changing Attitudes towards Divorce and Remarriage

Urbanization and changing attitudes towards individual autonomy have contributed to greater acceptance of divorce and marital separation in contemporary Indian society. Economic independence, especially among educated and employed women, has increased the ability of individuals to reconsider marriages that are experienced as incompatible, abusive or emotionally unsatisfactory. Marriage is increasingly viewed not only as a lifelong social obligation but also as a relationship expected to provide companionship, emotional satisfaction, dignity and mutual respect. Consequently, urban individuals may be more willing than earlier generations to consider divorce when these expectations are persistently unmet.

Attitudes towards remarriage have also gradually become more accommodating, particularly in urban and educated sections of society. Remarriage after divorce or widowhood is increasingly viewed as an individual choice rather than necessarily as a violation of social expectations. Changing family structures, greater economic independence and reduced dependence on extended kin have facilitated this transformation. Nevertheless, social stigma has not disappeared completely and divorced women, in particular, may continue to face greater social scrutiny and difficulties in remarriage. The changing acceptance of divorce and remarriage therefore demonstrates both the increasing individualization of marital relationships and the continuing influence of traditional social norms.

6.8 Singlehood and Marriage Avoidance

Singlehood is becoming a more visible feature of urban social life as individuals increasingly prioritize education, career development, financial stability, personal freedom and self-realization. The expansion of higher education and professional opportunities has contributed to a situation in which marriage is no longer necessarily regarded as the immediate or unavoidable outcome of adulthood. Some urban individuals consciously postpone marriage, while others choose to remain unmarried. This reflects a broader shift from marriage as a socially prescribed institution towards marriage as an individual decision shaped by personal aspirations and circumstances.

Marriage avoidance may also result from changing perceptions of relationships, rising expectations of compatibility, concerns about financial

responsibilities, or the desire to maintain autonomy. For some individuals, particularly those pursuing demanding careers, marriage may be perceived as potentially restricting mobility and personal choices. However, the acceptance of singlehood remains uneven in Indian society. Family pressure, cultural expectations and the social association of adulthood with marriage continue to influence individual choices. Thus, the emergence of singlehood in urban India represents an important dimension of individualization, while also revealing the continuing tension between personal autonomy and collective social expectations.

7. Kinship Networks in Urban Contexts: Continuity and Adaptation

In Indian civilization, kinship networks have always been very important, serving as a source of emotional support, financial stability, and a sense of social belonging. Changes in lifestyle, geographical isolation, and migration have had a profound impact on these networks in urban settings. Yet, family structures in modern India have shown signs of both persistence and change by adjusting to changing circumstances. People who move to cities in quest of better job opportunities or higher education often find themselves physically separated from their extended families. The practice of co-residence, which was characteristic of joint families, has diminished as a result of this physical separation, and everyday contact with family has decreased. Because of this, urban kinship relationships are shifting away from shared housing and toward more selective forms of engagement, such as occasional visits and virtual communication through digital technology. Family networks are nevertheless very important in times of crisis, even though they are less physically close. When times go tough, people often turn to their extended families for help with childcare, money, and emotional support. Festivals, religious observances, and weddings all play an important role in strengthening familial relationships by providing opportunities for community building and connection reaffirmation. Even in densely populated areas, these customs help people feel more at home. The dynamics of familial responsibilities and power have also changed as a result of urbanization. The traditional gender, age, and lineage hierarchies are being more and more discussed and worked out in practice. Family members under the age of 35, especially women and salaried workers, have more say in major decisions. Also, culturally significant demands like taking care of parents as they age and respecting elders are often met by more adaptable means like financial assistance or taking turns caring for loved ones. An increasingly vital resource for maintaining family ties in city settings is technology. Even when members of a family live far apart, modern technologies like video calling, social media, and cell phones keep them emotionally close and in constant contact. As a result of these online exchanges, kinship has taken on a new form, one that permits families to maintain a healthy mix of autonomy and participation. Thus, urbanization has not eliminated kinship ties in India but has transformed the ways in which they are maintained, negotiated, and experienced. The emerging pattern reflects a shift from traditional forms of physical co-residence toward

flexible, selective, and technology-mediated kinship relations that combine individual autonomy with continuing family obligations.

8. Broader Sociological Implications of Changing Family and Marriage Patterns

The changing patterns of family and marriage in contemporary urban India reflect a broader process of social transformation, individualization and modernization. The movement from predominantly joint and extended family arrangements towards nuclear, modified extended and increasingly flexible household forms has altered patterns of authority, decision-making, gender relations, and inter-generational interaction. Similarly, changes in mate selection, delayed marriage, inter-caste and inter-religious marriages, digital partner selection, and greater acceptance of divorce indicate an increasing role of individual choice in intimate relationships. These developments have weakened some traditional forms of social control while creating new forms of negotiation between individual aspirations and family expectations.

At the same time, these changes should not be interpreted as a complete decline of traditional family and kinship systems. Rather, urban Indian families demonstrate continuity alongside adaptation. Kinship networks continue to provide emotional, economic, and social support, even when relatives live separately. Traditional considerations of caste, religion, class, and family status also continue to influence marriage decisions, although they may operate through new and less visible forms. The coexistence of traditional norms with emerging individualistic values makes the urban Indian family a dynamic institution in which continuity, change, negotiation and contradiction occur simultaneously.

Thus, from a broader sociological perspective, changing family and marriage patterns have implications for social stratification, gender equality, inter-generational relations, socialization and patterns of social integration. They create greater opportunities for personal autonomy and more egalitarian relationships but may also generate new challenges, including role conflict (work–family conflict), weakening of traditional support systems, loneliness, marital instability and tensions between generations. Therefore, the contemporary urban family should be understood not simply as an institution in decline but as one undergoing reorganization in response to urbanization, education, occupational mobility, technological change, and changing aspirations.

9. Conclusion

Urbanization, education, economic development, and evolving cultural values are all factors that have contributed to broader societal changes in India. These changes are reflected in the modification of family patterns in urban urban areas. According to the findings of this study, the conventional joint family structure has steadily given way to a wider variety of family configurations, such as nuclear homes and alternative living arrangements. On the other hand, this change does not indicate that the family is becoming less of a social institution; rather, it indicates that the

family is adapting to the new realities of urban life. Alterations in the patterns of marriage bring to light the growing significance of individual choice, emotional compatibility, and personal objectives. A reconfiguration of societal norms is occurring, particularly among metropolitan populations, as seen by the growing acceptability of love marriages, delayed marriages, and unions between people of different castes or religions. At the same time, the acceptance of one's family and thoughts of one's kinship continue to play a role in the decision-making process about marriage, which demonstrates the continued existence of tradition within contemporary circumstances. Despite the fact that they have been changed by spatial isolation and shifting lifestyles, kinship networks continue to play an important role in urban family life. The continued operation of support systems that are founded on extended family relationships is made possible by the utilization of flexible arrangements, digital communication, and selective engagement. Despite the fact that power structures are becoming more malleable, obligations directed toward parents and relatives continue to exist, particularly in regards to problems of care and social responsibility. One of the most effective ways to comprehend the shifting family structures in urban India is to view them as a process of continuity within change. It is a challenge for urban families to manage contemporary principles such as autonomy and equality while also keeping rooted in long-standing cultural norms. This dynamic interplay between tradition and modernity highlights the resiliency of the institution of the family as well as its capacity to develop in response to the alteration of society.

References

- Allendorf, Keera, and V. K. Pandian, "The Decline of Arranged Marriage? Marital Change and Continuity in India", *Population and Development Review*, 42(3), 2016, 435-464. DOI: 10.1111/j.1728-4457.2016.00149.x.
- Bose, N. K., *Culture and Society in India*, Bombay: Asia Publishing House, 1967.
-, *The Structure of Hindu Society*, New Delhi: Orient Longman, 1975.
- Desai, I. P., *Indian Family in Transition*, Bombay: Orient Longman, 1964.
- Dey, Tapas, and Srinivas Goli, "Examining the Role of Modernization and Urbanization in Family Changes in India: Evidence from Panel Data Analyses", *Journal of Comparative Family Studies*, 51(1), 2026, 20-50. DOI: 10.1177/03631990251376548.
- Dube, Leela, "Sociology of Kinship", *A Survey of Research in Sociology and Social Anthropology*, Vol. II, Bombay: Popular Prakashan, 1974, 233-366.
-, *Kinship and Gender in South and Southeast Asia: Patterns and Contrasts*, Ninth J. P. Naik Memorial Lecture, No. 9. New Delhi: Centre for Women's Development Studies, 1996.
-, *Women and kinship: Comparative perspectives on gender in South and South-East Asia*, New Delhi: Vistaar Publications, 1997.
- Dube, S. C., *Indian Village*, London: Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1955.
-, *India's Changing Villages: Human Factors in Community Development*, London: Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1958.
-, *Indian Society*, New Delhi: National Book Trust, 1990.
-, *Women and kinship: Comparative perspectives on gender in South and South-East Asia*, New Delhi: Vistaar Publications, 1997.

- Fuller, C. J., and Haripriya Narasimhan, "Companionate Marriage in India: The Changing Marriage System in a Middle-Class Brahman Subcaste", *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute*, 14(4), 2008, 736-754. DOI: 10.1111/j.1467-9655.2008.00528.x.
- Gore, M. S. (ed.), *Third Survey of Research in Sociology and Social Anthropology*, Vol. I and Vol. II, New Delhi, ICSSR, 2000.
- Ghurye, G. S., *Family and Kin in Indo-European Culture*, Bombay: Popular Book Depot, 1955.
- Kapadia, K. M., *Marriage and Family in India*, Calcutta: Oxford University Press, 1966.
- Karve, Irvati, *Kinship Organization in India*, Bombay: Asia Publishing House, 1968.
- Madan, T. N., *Family and Kinship: A Study of the Pandits of Rural Kashmir*, Bombay: Asia Publishing House, 1965.
-, "The Hindu Family and Kinship: A Cultural and Sociological Perspective", M. N. Srinivas *et al.* (eds.), *Dimensions of Social Life: Essays in Honor of David G. Mandelbaum*, Delhi: Oxford University Press, 1989.
- Mathur, Divya, "What's love got to do with it? Parental involvement and spouse choice in urban India", Department of Economics, University of Chicago, 2007. Available from SSRN. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1655998>
- Mondal, S., "Trends, Patterns and Determinants of Family Structure in India: A Perspective of Three Decades", *Marriage & Family Review*, 61(2), 2024, 185-225. DOI: 10.1080/01494929.2024.2427150.
- Narzary, Pralip Kumar, and Laishram Ladusingh, "Discovering the Saga of Inter-caste Marriage in India", *Journal of Asian and African Studies*, 54(4), 2019, 588-599. DOI: 10.1177/0021909619829896.
- Patel, Tulsi, *Family in India: Structure and Practice*, New Delhi: Sage Publications, 2005.
- Ray, Tridip, Arka Roy Chaudhuri, Komal Sahai, "Whose Education Matters? An Analysis of Inter Caste Marriages in India", *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 176, 2020, 619-633. DOI: 10.1016/j.jebo.2020.02.011.
- Reed, Megan N., "Roka Engagements and the Hybridization of Arranged and 'Love' Marriage in Urban India", *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 87(5), 2025, 2042-2055. DOI: 10.1111/jomf.13115.
- Shah, A. M., *The Family in India: Critical Essays*, New Delhi: Orient Blackswan, 1998.
- Srinivas, M. N., *Marriage and Family in Mysore*, Bombay: New Book Company, 1942.
- Uberoi, Patricia, "The Family in India: Beyond the Nuclear versus Joint Debate", V. Das (ed.), *The Oxford India Companion to Sociology and Social Anthropology*, New Delhi: Oxford University Press, 2003, 1061-1092.
- Vatuk, Sylvia, "Household Form and Formation Cycles in Indian Society", *Contributions to Indian Sociology*, 17(1), 1983, 1-23. ★